



TO Interested Parties
FROM FM3 Research
RE: Los Angeles 15th City Council District Survey Results
DATE August 29, 2022

A survey¹ of voters in Los Angeles’s 15th City Council District likely to cast a ballot in the November elections shows **Tim McOsker in a strong position against Danielle Sandoval**. The initial head-to-head vote finds McOsker leading by eight-points (34%-26%), and following equal positive and negative information about both candidates, McOsker expands his advantage 14-points, 45%-31%. (See Figure 1) These results show Tim McOsker is the clear favorite in this race and is likely to be elected to the City Council provided his campaign has the resources to conduct effective voter outreach and communications throughout the fall.

Figure 1: Vote for 15th Los Angeles City Council Member

Candidate	Initial Vote	Vote After Candidate Information
Tim McOsker	34%	45%
Danielle Sandoval	26%	31%
Undecided	40%	24%

Tim McOsker’s endorsements from local nurses and healthcare workers, firefighters and paramedics, Planned Parenthood, small business groups and other key validators are major assets for his campaign. (See Figure 2) Large majorities hold favorable opinions of organizations and groups backing Tim McOsker, and his campaign can use these trusted organizations to raise voter opinion of McOsker, and if necessary, to distinguish him from his opponent.

Figure 2: Favorability Ratings

Organization/Group	Total Favorable	Total Unfavorable	No Opinion/Can’t Rate
Local nurses and healthcare workers	84%	9%	7%
Los Angeles firefighters and paramedics	83%	9%	7%
Local small business groups	78%	7%	15%
Planned Parenthood	75%	19%	6%

¹ **Survey Methodology:** From August 11-21, 2022, FM3 conducted a survey of 483 Los Angeles 15th City Council District voters likely to cast a ballot in the November 2022 election. Voters were contacted via text messaging, email and landline and cell/mobile telephone and interviews were conducted online and by live interviewers. Interviews were conducted in English and Spanish. The sample margin of error for the results is +/-4.9% at the 95% confidence level; the margin of error for population subgroups will be higher. Due to rounding, some percentages may not sum to 100%.